COURT-II IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY

(Appellate Jurisdiction)

APPEAL NO. 363 OF 2017 & IA NO. 976 OF 2017 AND APPEAL NO. 16 OF 2018 & IA NO. 1142 OF 2018

Dated: 29th January, 2019

Present: Hon'ble Mr. Justice N. K. Patil, Judicial Member

Hon'ble Mr. Ravindra Kumar Verma, Technical Member

APPEAL NO. 363 OF 2017 & IA NOS. 976 & 975 OF 2017

In the matter of:

GMR Warora Energy Limited Appellant(s)

Vs.

Central Electricity Regulatory Commission &Ors. Respondent(s)

Counsel for the Appellant(s) : Mr. Hemant Singh

Mr. Shourya Malhotra

Counsel for the Respondent(s) : Ms.Suparna Srivastava

Ms. Sanjna Dua for R-2

APPEAL NO. 16 OF 2018 & IA NO. 1142 OF 2018

In the matter of:

Thermal Powertech Corporation India Limited Appellant(s)

Vs.

Central Electricity Regulatory Commission & Anr. Respondent(s)

Counsel for the Appellant(s) : Mr. Sanjay Sen, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Deep Rao

Counsel for the Respondent(s) : Ms. Suparna Srivastava

Ms. Sanina Dua for R-2

ORDER

IA NO. 1142 OF 2018

(for bringing on record the change in name of the Appellant)

Heard learned counsel for the Appellant and the second Respondent.

First Respondent, though served, is unrepresented.

The counsel for the Appellant, Mr. Deep Rao, at the outset, submitted that, in the light of the statement made and for the reasoning assigned in paragraphs 1 & 2 of the instant application, the same may kindly be accepted and IA may kindly be allowed and the change in name of the Applicant/Appellant from Thermal Powertech Corporation of India Limited to Sembcorp Energy India Limited may kindly be taken on record and pass an appropriate consequential directions to give effect to give effect to such name change.

Per-contra, the learned counsel, Ms. Suparna Srivastava, appearing for the second Respondent fairly submitted that, submission of the learned counsel for the Appellant may kindly be taken on record and in the light of the statement made and for the reasoning assigned in the application, an appropriate order may kindly be passed.

Submissions of the learned counsel for the Appellant and the second Respondent, as stated supra, are placed on record.

First Respondent, though served, is unrepresented.

After careful perusal of the statement made and the reasoning assigned in paragraphs 1 & 2 of the application, we find that the Appellant has made out his case by assigning the sufficient reasoning. The same are accepted. IA is allowed.

The counsel for the Appellant is permitted to carryout necessary amendment regarding change in name of the Applicant/Appellant from Thermal Powertech Corporation of India Limited to Sembcorp Energy India Limited forthwith and file the

amended memo in the Registry immediately. With these observations, the instant IA, being No. 1142 of 2018, stands disposed of.

APPEAL NO. 363 OF 2017 & IA NO. 976 OF 2017 AND APPEAL NO. 16 OF 2018

Heard learned counsel for the Appellant and the Respondent No. 2.

The learned Counsels appearing for the Appellants in Appeal No. 363 of 2017 and in Appeal No. 16 of 2018 are permitted to file written submissions within six days from today after serving copy to the learned counsel appearing for the Respondent No. 2.

The counsel appearing for the Respondent No. 2 is permitted to file written submissions by way of affidavit within ten days from today after serving copy to the other side.

List these matters for hearing on <u>12.02.2019</u>, as agreed by the learned counsel appearing for both the parties.

(Ravindra Kumar Verma)
Technical Member

(Justice N. K. Patil) Judicial Member

vt/bn